#### TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

#### NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE MARYLAND 20755-6000

16 May 2013

# MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD

THRU: Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight)

SUBJECT: (U//<del>FOUO)</del> Report to the Intelligence Oversight Board on NSA Activities - INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U//<del>FOUO)</del> Except as previously reported to you or the President or otherwise stated in the enclosure, we have no reason to believe that intelligence activities of the National Security Agency during the quarter ending 31 March 2013 were unlawful or contrary to Executive Order or Presidential Directive and, thus, should have been reported pursuant to Section 1.6(c) of Executive Order 12333, as amended.

(U/<del>FOUO).</del> The Inspector General and the General Counsel continue to exercise oversight of Agency activities by inspections, surveys, training, review of directives and guidelines, and advice and counsel.

DR. GEORGE ELLARD Inspector General

> RAJESH DE General Counsel

(U//FOUO) I concur in the report of the Inspector General and the General Counsel and hereby make it our combined report.

KEITH B. ALEXANDER General, U. S. Army

Director, NSA/Chief, CSS

Encl:

Quarterly Report

This document may be declassified and marked "UNCLASSIFIED//For Official Use Only" upon removal of enclosure(s)

Approved for Release by NSA on 12-19-2014, FOIA Case # 70809 (Litigation)

DOCID: 4165221 TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

# (U) REPORT TO THE INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD ON NSA ACTIVITIES FIRST QUARTER CY2013

(U/<del>FOUO)</del> Pursuant to Executive Order 12333 (E.O. 12333), as amended, National Security Directive No. 42, and other legal and policy directives, the National Security Agency (NSA/Agency) conducts signals intelligence (SIGINT) and information assurance (IA) activities on behalf of the U.S. government. NSA's SIGINT and IA operations, as well as activities in support of those operations, might result in the acquisition of non-public information about or concerning U.S. persons (USPs). Agency personnel are required to follow procedures designed to protect USP privacy, consistent with the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and other law. NSA has also established internal management controls to provide reasonable assurance that NSA personnel are complying with procedures for handling USP information, such as minimization procedures adopted by the Attorney General (AG) and approved by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) to govern USP information acquired during SIGINT operations conducted pursuant to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) of 1978, as amended. This report summarizes incidents of non-compliance with NSA's USP procedures, as well as other matters required to be reported to the Intelligence Oversight Board, that were identified during the first quarter of CY2013.

#### I. (U) SIGINT Incidents

(b) (3) - 50 USC 3024(i)

(U//<del>FOUO)</del> Section 1.7(c)(1) of E.O. 12333 authorizes NSA to collect (including through clandestine means), process, analyze, produce, and disseminate SIGINT data for foreign intelligence and counterintelligence purposes to support national and military missions. However, FISA regulates the intentional acquisition of communications to or from unconsenting USPs, wherever such persons may be located, and also regulates certain collection techniques. particularly techniques used against persons located inside the United States. As a result, NSA personnel distinguish between E.O. 12333 SIGINT operations and activities that NSA conducts pursuant to FISA authorizations.

I.A. (U) E.O. 12333 SIGINT Incidents	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
(S//SI//NF) During the reporting period, NSA determined	thatincident reports
indicated non-compliance with AG-approved procedures in Depart	rtment of Defense (DoD)
Regulation 5240.1-R, including the regulation's Classified Annex	c, as well as incidents of non-
compliance with internal control procedures that govern NSA's a	equisition, processing,
retention, and dissemination of USP information acquired during	
operations. incidents involved acquisition errors, such	h as the mistaken or inadvertent
targeting of a USP;   concerned improper queries of NSA raw	SIGINT databases
(unminimized and unevaluated for foreign intelligence), such as q	queries that were overly broad
or not reasonably designed to restrict the return of non-pertinent of	
information or were performed without first conducting the neces	ssary research;
involved unauthorized access to or improper handling of raw SIG	INT data; and involved
	<u>—</u>
	Classified By:
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
3)-P.L. 86-36	Dated 20070108

Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20380501

DOCID: 4165221 <del>FOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN</del> (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 system errors. In light of the scope and scale of NSA's E.O. 12333 SIGINT operations e-mail addresses, telephone numbers, and other "selectors" were tasked for E.O. 12333 SIGINT collection during the reporting period), the overall error rate was extremely low. (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 302 (\$\langle \text{SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)} (U//<del>FOUO)</del> The vast majority of E.O. 12333 incidents during the reporting period occurred because of human error and were addressed through remedial training of the responsible personnel. Noteworthy E.O. 12333 SIGINT incidents included the following: • (TS//SI//NF) During this quarter, the NSA Office of the Inspector General (OIG) learned that a data spillage had occurred involving communications intelligence (COMINT). Approximately time-sensitive reports containing TOP SECRET COMINT information the reports were available to personnel cleared only for SECRET information. All of the reports have been removed from the known locations, and a damage assessment is under way. (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) database query ran against selector that contained a typographical error. The analyst attempted to stop the query but did not follow the correct process. when the database auditor discovered the error. No query results (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 returned. (S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 USC 3 <del>(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)</del> an auditor discovered that an analyst had queried selectors without performing the necessary foreignness checks. No results were returned, and no reports were issued.

raw SIGINT database

an analyst performed a query in a

has

The query results were deleted, and no reports were issued. been suspended.

(b) (1)

#### TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

•	(S//SI//NF) it was discovered that a systems error mistakenly
	allowed selectors identified as USPs to be approved for tasking
	No collection
	occurred. (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
	(TS//SI//NF) an analyst discovered a glitch in a tasking tool that
	resulted in selectors
	All selectors were detasked
• ·	(TS//SI//NF) it was discovered that selectors
ī	all improperly routed data was deleted. An
·	error in the configuration files caused the incident.
	(U// <del>FOUO)</del> it was discovered that a file containing raw SIGINT
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	had been uploaded into a repository that unauthorized personnel could have accessed
**********************	The file was deleted. (b) (1)
	(D) (3) -P.L. 86-36  (TS//SI//NF)  an analyst discovered collection acquired during a
-	target's visit to the United States from a selector
	The incident was isolated to a particular
	The motion was isolated to a particular
_	(TS//SI/TK//REL_TO USA_FVEY)
	(15//5E/TR/REL TOUSA, EVE 1)
b) (1)	Purging of the collected data was completed
b)(3)-P.L. 86	
b)(3)-50 USC	
•	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) it was discovered that a selector
	determined to be associated with a USP because of a
	miscommunication Upon discovery of
	the incident, the analyst immediately stopped the query and deleted the results (b) (1)
	(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
•	(S//REL TO USA, FVEY)
	discovered to have been conducting SIGINT without proper authority
	conducted an unapproved collection exercise,
	did not understand the
	importance of obtaining the proper legal authority for conducting SIGINT exercises
	before Moreover, the Program Manager had
	been fielding it to without the knowledge/or oversight of the
	at Fort Meade. is contacting
	as currently possessing SIGINT systems or scheduled to receive a SIGINT
	system in the near future to make them aware of SIGINT oversight requirements.

### TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

I.B. (U) FISA Incid	dents	(b) (1 (b) (3	.) 3)-P.L. 86-36
with the FISC concerpursuant to FISA, in minimization procedures. delayed detasking of such as queries that pertinent or unauthor handling of raw SIC more than one notice.	During the reporting period, the erning incidents of non-complicated dividents. There were incidents of the incidents of targets; concerned improper were overly broad or not reason rized USP information; involved see to DOJ, and some notices did oes not correspond to the number of the incidents of targets; concerned improper were overly broad or not reason rized USP information; involved see to DOJ, and some notices did oes not correspond to the number of the incidents.	ance with authorizations issubliance with NSA's Court-apples of non-compliance with None involved acquisition errors, er queries of NSA raw SIGIN nably designed to restrict the olved unauthorized access to systems errors. (Some incided not involve incidents. Constitutions)	ed to NSA proved FISA SA internal such as the NT databases, e return of non- or improper ents might cause
because of human e personnel. Notewor	The vast majority of FISA incidence and were addressed throughthy FISA incidents included:  OUO NSA/CSS Title I FISA	h remedial training of the res	
• <del>(TS//SI//NF)</del>	While renewing the authority  NSA discovered  on to or from these numbers w		No
	not been detasked no reporting occurred.	NSA discovered that a All co	llection has been
• <del>(TS//SI//NF)</del>	-		
communicati non-complia	ons ha	ave been purged, and no repo	All rting based on the
amend the O  • (TS//SI//NF)	order to address this situation.	the FISC grante	ed a motion to

(b) (1)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)

	- FOR SECRE I//SI/	(k	a) (1) b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 b) (3)-50 USC 3024(	i)
the non-	compliant data was marked for purg	ging and no reporting o	All o	f
• <del>(S//SI//</del> 1		ered that files possibly	containing	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	U	pon discovery, all files	were deleted	4
(S//SI//I	<del>F)</del> an analyst d	liscovered some FISA-a	acquired information	
6-36 B2 4	A final notice on the matt  //REL TO USA, FVEY)	er was filed with the FI	(b) (1)	P.L. 86-36
• <del>-(S//SI//I</del>	EL TO USA, FVEY) identifiers provided to NSA by	an analy	st executed	
connecti identitie		NSA que results	isk of terrorist ueries non-USP s of those queries.	
query_ otherwis		NSA deleted the results had not been	ne results from the a disseminate d or	
(TS//SI// without	training might have been	NSA discovered able to see discovered Although no	ed that analysts lata data was found in	]
per."				second of the se
pursuant 5-3 <b>t</b> aining		analysts have since a	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. (b) (3)-50 US	
1.B.3. <del>(</del> (TS//SI/	(BR)  NEW (BR)			C 3024(I)
NSA's I belongir results o	BR repository using a reasonable art g to a USP currently subject to f the query via an e-mail alias to pe e the BR data. The analyst's superv	The a	roved selector analyst then sent the ve the required trainin	g
	vertently retained files containing of period. These records, which had	been produced pursuan	xceeded the five-year	
used in	The call detail records could be received appropriate and adequate	detail records to a new e accessed or used only	system in or about	

TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

#### TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

technical personnel destroyed the call detail records used in the migration of records that had been retained past the five-year limit.

# I.B.4. (U) FISA Amendments Act (FAA)

•	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) On occasions during the first quarter, collection
	occurred on the United States
•	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) FAA §702 data was erroneously
) (L)	have been marked for deletion from the repository.
o) (3) -P.L. 86	personnel had learned that the user of selectors had
	the United States The selectors
	had inadvertently remained tasked  All non-compliant data collected from
	has been marked for purging. No reports
	were issued.
•	(TS//SI//REL_TO USA, FVEY) NSA personnel discovered that
	selectors had been incorrectly re-tasked under FAA §702
	without adjudication, selectors were
	detasked upon discovery. All non-compliant FAA §702 data for each selector was marked for purging.
•	an NSA analyst erroneously tasked selectors without ascertaining whether the selectors were in the United States. Upon
	discovery of this error, the selectors were emergency detasked and all non-compliant
	FAA §702 data for each selector was marked for purging. No reports were issued.
• •	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) NSA discovered that a database
	technical error, caused by unknown circumstances had prevented
	the complete processing of files. NSA provides for review for all new FAA §702
	tasking. The are the subject of the
	under FAA targeting procedures. The database prevented certain
	from being loaded into database. Another technical error (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 occurred also preventing from being loaded into the
	database. After being alerted to the situation, the NSA database team loaded the missing
	into the database
•	<del>(TS//SI//NF)</del>

DOCID: 4165221 TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN (b) (1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)• <del>(TS//SI//REL\_TO USA, FVEY)</del> it was discovered that a detasking request had been made for a selector deemed to be no longer of interest. Further analysis revealed that the detasking request had not been carried out. The selector was in the United States The selector was detasked All non-compliant FAA §702 data collected from has been marked for purging. No reports were issued. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 it was discovered that between (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) an analyst had e-mailed to as many as unauthorized analysts at a field location files containing data collected pursuant to FAA §702. Upon discovery of this incident, all sharing of raw SIGINT was stopped and was instructed to purge the erroneously shared FAA \$702 data from (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) an analyst downloaded FAA §702 data from a raw traffic repository and stored it in a local computer directory that could be accessed by analysts who are not authorized for FAA §702 data. Upon discovery, the analyst moved the traffic to a directory where access can be limited to only analysts who are authorized for FAA §702 access. (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) During the week of a manager discovered that FAA §702 traffic had been shared with an unauthorized analyst since the (b)(1)beginning of The sharing was halted

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 analyst was instructed to return the FAA §702 data. Management reminded division personnel that the sharing of FAA §702 data with unauthorized personnel is not permitted. (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)-(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) NSA discovered that an analyst without the proper FAA \$702 training had the potential to see FAA \$702 data The unauthorized user was until FAA §702 training is completed. removed from the it was discovered that a file (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY containing data collected under FAA §702 had not been restricted to allow only those trained for access to FAA §702 data. It is not known whether anyone without appropriate training had accessed the file. The file permissions were changed (b)(1)to restrict access to only analysts who have completed appropriate FAA §702 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 training. I.C. (U) Dissemination of U.S. Identities SIGINT product reports during the (TS//SI//NF) The NSA/CSS enterprise issued first quarter of CY2013. product reports incorrectly disseminated USP information, and the reports were recalled as NSA/CSS analysts learned of USPs. (b) (1)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 4165221 TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

U.S. organizations, or U.S. entities named without authorization. All data in the recalled reports was deleted as required, and the reports were not re-issued or were re-issued with proper minimization.

I.D. (U) Detection and Prevention of Violations (b) (1) (c) (3)-P.L. 86-36
(TS//SI//NF) NSA continues its process to identify when the users of properly tasked the United States. NSA's process identified
Collected data was purged from NSA/CSS's raw traffic repositories. NSA's process for
cases, information acquired during the period States was purged.  In the first quarter. In all the United
II. (U) IA Incidents
(U/ <del>FOUO</del> ) National Security Directive No. 42 and §1.7(c)(6) of E.O. 12333 designate the Director of NSA as the U.S. government's National Manager for National Security Systems. NSA's Information Assurance (IA) responsibilities include authority for NSA to intercept encrypted or other official communications of U.S. Executive Branch entities or U.S. government contractors for communications security purposes; perform technical security countermeasure surveys to determine whether unauthorized electronic surveillance is being conducted against the United States; examine U.S. government national security systems and evaluate their vulnerability to foreign interception and exploitation; and assess the security posture of and disseminate information on threats to and vulnerabilities of national security systems. NSA's IA activities often result in the acquisition of non-public communications or other non-public information about or concerning USPs.  (U/ <del>FOUO)</del> During the reporting period, NSA identified incidents of non-compliance
with the AG-approved procedures and NSA internal control procedures that govern the handling of USP information acquired during NSA's IA activities. The incidents were attributed to human error and were addressed through remedial training of the responsible personnel.  Noteworthy IA incidents included:  (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
• (U//FOUO) an analyst released a tipper containing a hyperlink that provided recipients of the tipper access to a repository for analyzed Communications Security (COMSEC) data, even if the recipients lacked access credentials. A hyperlink had been provided in additional tippers All tippers have been recalled, and new procedures for issuing tippers have been established to prevent future occurrences. A security update has been developed to eliminate the bug that allowed the live link to function for those without authorized access to the COMSEC data

DOCID: 4165221 TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

# III. (U) NSA/CSS OIG IO Inspections, Investigations, and Special Studies

(U//<del>FOUO)</del> During the first quarter of CY2013, the OIG reviewed NSA/CSS intelligence activities to determine whether they had been conducted in accordance with statutes, E.O.s, AG-approved procedures, and DoD and internal directives. The problems uncovered were routine, and the reviews showed that operating elements understand the restrictions on NSA/CSS activities.

•	(U) Joint Inspection: NSA/CSS Texas (NSAT)
	(S//REL TO USA, FVEY) During the joint inspection of NSAT  IO inspectors reviewed IO program management, IO training for site (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 personnel, and application of IO standards in SIGINT mission activities performed at the site. The IO inspectors found an overall lack of IO documentation and noted the need for increased physical protection in mission spaces given NSAT's open architecture.  Managing training at a site with significant military presence and ensuring compliance in SIGINT activities performed under multiple authorities pose challenges for NSAT leadership.
•	(U) Field Inspection:
	(U//FOUO) During the field inspection of
•	(U) Special Study: Assessment of Management Controls Over FAA §702—Revised and Reissued
	the NSA OIG published a revised report on the results of a review of the management controls implemented to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with FAA §702. The original report, was revised for classification discrepancies and because new information had been received after release of the original report. The study found that NSA control procedures are adequately designed to comply with FAA §702. Eleven recommendations were made for improving those controls.

#### DOCID: 4165221 TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN

•	$(\mathbf{U})$	On	going	Stu	dies

(U//<del>FOUO).</del> The following special studies were in progress during the quarter and will be summarized in subsequent quarterly reports:

- o (U//<del>FOUO</del>) FAA §702 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
- Auditing Control Framework for Signals Intelligence System Queries
- o (U) System
- o (U) Technology Directorate Mission Compliance Program
- o (U) Information Assurance Directorate Office of Oversight and Compliance Mission Compliance Program

IV. (U) N	otifications_	
	During the first quarter, a number of notifications were provided to Congress,  (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L.	86-36
• •	(TS//SI//NF) NSA notified Congressional intelligence committees about an unauthorized disclosure of properly classified national security information derived from SIGINT. NSA became aware of this disclosure on	00 00
(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -18 USC 798 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024	(i)	
	The NSA Office of General Counsel has filed a Crime Report with the DOJ on this unauthorized disclosure.	
• .	(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)  Congressional intelligence committees about a potential retention and dissemination compliance incident involving an NSA corporate database designed for long-term retention	
(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(		
•	(TS//SI/NF) NSA notified Congressional intelligence committees about the FISC's opinion relating to	

(b) (3) - P.L. 86 - 36

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(3)-18 USC 798

(b) (3) - 50 USC 3024(i)

(b) (1)

DOCID: 4165221 <u>TOP SECRET//SI/TK//NOFORN</u>

NSA purged the unauthorized collection and recalled all reporting based on those	
communications. the FISC authorized such collection to be	(b)(1)
undertaken prospectively.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
V. (U) NSA/CSS IO Program Initiatives	
• (U <del>//FOUO)</del> As reported in the second quarter CY2011 report, NSA/CSS is developing a tool to automate submission of mission compliance incident reports across the NSA/CSS enterprise. The will become the Agency's central tool for reporting potential mission compliance incidents and will provide a streamlined management process, a central repository,	
and metrics data to support root cause identification and trend analysis. The is expected to be implemented With the implementation of the NSA will be able to perform comprehensive trend analysis	
	-P.L. 86-36
(U// <del>FOUO)</del> During the reporting period, NSA identified two questionable intelligence activities of a serious nature and one potential crime, as defined in Directive-Type Memorandur 08-052. Each activity has been reported to Congress and has been described in Section IV.	m
(S//NF) The NSA OIG has concluded its investigation into an allegation mentioned in the third quarter CY2012 report that activity associated with the allegation was unsubstantiated.	he 
(TS//SH/NF)	$\vdash$
_(15/15/1/11)	
-(TS//SI//NF) During the first quarter of CY2013, the AG was involved ininstance of intelligence-related collection activities associated with USP hostage and detained cases.	es
(b) (1)	
(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -P.L. (b) (3) -50 U	